

2023 Volume 4 Issue 2 Edoc L3570F30F



Reproducibility, Validity, and Integrity in Scholarly Research: What Accountability for Willful Disregard?*

Carl Taswell[†]

Commentary

The Aims and Scope of the Brainiacs Journal encompass the Information And Communication Sciences in addition to the Imaging And Computing Sciences. These IACS fields find a nexus in the ethically and legally challenging realm of establishing credibility of witnesses in courts of law. How do we differentiate evidentiary fact from fiction and truth from lies when related as stories told by witnesses to juries in court? Should we trust scientific reports when researchers claim that brain imaging, physiologic monitoring devices, and psychological questionnaires (US Congress Office of Technology Assessment 1983; Langleben and Moriarty 2013; Meltzer et al. 2013; Walczyk et al. 2018; Hsu et al. 2019; Díaz Soto and Borbón 2022) can or cannot be used as lie detectors to reveal when a person is not telling the truth? In the current era of information wars that have spread from politicians to scientists, how do we maintain reproducibility, validity, and integrity in scholarly research for science, engineering, and medicine?

Brain Health Alliance (BHA) has been studying these questions for the past several years (Craig, Ambati, et al. 2019; Taswell, Triggle, et al. 2020; Athreya et al. 2020; Taswell, Athreya, et al. 2021; Craig, Lee, et al. 2022). The BHA Virtual Institute (BHAVI) has now hosted two annual Guardians conferences (Guardians 2022 and Guardians 2023) focused on truth and integrity in science (Craig, Taswell, et al. 2022; Taswell and Craig 2023). BHAVI has answered the question "Who are the Guardians of Truth and Integrity?" each of the past two years by honoring Dr. Peter Wilmshurst and Dr. Anthony Fauci, respectively, as the 2022 Guardian and 2023 Guardian. Next year for Guardians 2024, we pose the following questions seeking answers:

- What accountability should be imposed on researchers for willful disregard of reproducibility, validity, and integrity in scholarly research? What should be the sanctions for those researchers who violate professional codes of conduct?
- Doctors must be licensed to practice medicine with patients. Lawyers must be licensed to practice law with clients. Teachers in schools must be licensed to teach students. Why do we not require researchers to be licensed to conduct research?
- · Which organizations should respond to concerns and complaints
- *Presented 2023-10-09 with slides and and video at Guardians 2023 [†]Authors affiliated with Brain Health Alliance Virtual Institute, Ladera Ranch, CA 92694 USA; correspondence to CTaswell at Brain Health Alliance.

- about fraud, falsification, plagiarism, and misconduct in research? Which organizations should impose and enforce the sanctions for those researchers who violate professional codes of conduct?
- To which independent and impartial venue (one which is devoid of conflicts of interest and mandated to disclose the legal and financial nature of the entity by clarifying the funding sources and controlling parties), should we submit complaints when a researcher with less power and money becomes a victim exploited by a researcher with more power and money?
- · Educators at academic universities in our communities should be leaders and example role models who teach and promote moral, ethical, civil, courteous, tolerant, and respectful behavior between and amongst all members of our communities. How should we heal and cure the worsening triple-G problem in academia of Grooming, Gaslighting, and Ghosting?
- · What is the meaning and purpose of policies and procedures in academic policy manuals if the rules are not imposed and enforced for all members of the university including both teachers and students? What is the meaning and purpose of ethical codes of conduct if the guidelines are not imposed and enforced by the professional societies that adopt and promote such codes of conduct for their members?
- Can truth and integrity exist in academic science, engineering, and medicine without accountability for willful disregard?

Report submissions for Guardians 2024 will open on 9 January 2024. Author presentations will be held at an online event via GoToMeeting videoconference at meet.goto.com/965055533 on 9 October 2024. For further information, please contact guardians at BHAVI.us.

Citation

Brainiacs 2023 Volume 4 Issue 2 Edoc L3570F30F

Title: "Reproducibility, Validity, and Integrity in Scholarly Research: What Accountability for Willful Disregard?"

Authors: Carl Taswell

Dates: created 2023-10-03, received 2023-10-03, presented 2023-10-09, updated 2023-12-31, published 2023-12-31,

Copyright: © 2023 Brain Health Alliance

Contact: CTaswell at Brain Health Alliance

URL: BrainiacsJournal.org/arc/pub/Taswell2023RVISR

PDP: /Nexus/Brainiacs/Taswell2023RVISR

DOI: /10.48085/L3570F30F

References

- [1] A. Athreya, S. K. Taswell, S. Mashkoor, and C. Taswell. "The Essential Enquiry 'Equal or Equivalent Entities?' About Two Things as Same, Similar, Related, or Different." Brainiacs Journal of Brain Imaging And Computing Sciences 1.1, PEDADC885 (1 Dec. 30, 2020), pp. 1–7. DOI: 10.48085/PEDADC885. URL: https://brainiacsjournal.org/arc/pub/Athreya2020EEEEE (cited p. 1).
- [2] A. Craig, A. Ambati, S. Dutta, P. Kowshik, S. Nori, S. K. Taswell, Q. Wu, and C. Taswell. "DREAM Principles and FAIR Metrics from the PORTAL-DOORS Project for the Semantic Web." In: 2019 IEEE 11th International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI) (June 28, 2019). Pitesti, Romania: IEEE, June 2019, pp. 1–8. DOI: 10.11 09/ECAI46879.2019.9042003. URL: https://portaldoors.org/pub/docs/ECAI2019DREAMFAIR0612.pdf (cited p. 1).
- [3] A. Craig, C. Lee, N. Bala, and C. Taswell. "Motivating and Maintaining Ethics, Equity, Effectiveness, Efficiency, and Expertise in Peer Review." Brainiacs Journal of Brain Imaging And Computing Sciences 3.1, I5B147D9D (1 June 30, 2022), pp. 1–21. DOI: 10.48085/I5B147D9D. URL: https://BrainiacsJournal.org/arc/pub/Craig202 2MMEEPR (cited p. 1).
- [4] A. Craig, S. K. Taswell, A. Athreya, and C. Taswell. "Who are the Guardians of Truth and Integrity?" *Brainiacs Journal of Brain Imaging And Computing Sciences* 3.2 (Dec. 29, 2022). ISSN: 2766-6883. DOI: 10.48085/y9f719aa4 (cited p. 1).
- [5] J. M. Díaz Soto and D. Borbón. "Neurorights vs. neuroprediction and lie detection: The imperative limits to criminal law." Frontiers in Psychology 13 (Dec. 2022). ISSN: 1664-1078. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.103 0439 (cited p. 1).
- [6] C.-W. Hsu, C. Begliomini, T. Dall'Acqua, and G. Ganis. "The effect of mental countermeasures on neuroimaging-based concealed information tests." *Human Brain Mapping* 40.10 (Mar. 2019), pp. 2899–2916. ISSN: 1097-0193. DOI: 10.1002/hbm.24567 (cited p. 1).
- [7] D. D. Langleben and J. C. Moriarty. "Using brain imaging for lie detection: Where science, law, and policy collide." *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law* 19.2 (May 2013), pp. 222–234. ISSN: 1076-8971. DOI: 10.1037/a 0028841 (cited p. 1).
- [8] C. C. Meltzer, G. Sze, K. S. Rommelfanger, K. Kinlaw, J. D. Banja, and P. R. Wolpe. "Guidelines for the Ethical Use of Neuroimages in Medical Testimony: Report of a Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference." *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 35.4 (Aug. 2013), pp. 632–637. ISSN: 1936-959X. DOI: 10.3174/ajnr.a3711 (cited p. 1).
- [9] S. K. Taswell, A. Athreya, M. Akella, and C. Taswell. "Truth in Science." Brainiacs Journal of Brain Imaging and Computing Sciences 2.1 (1 Dec. 31, 2021), pp. 1–9. DOI: 10.48085/M85EC99EE. URL: https://BrainiacsJournal.org/arc/pub/Taswell2021Truth (cited p. 1).
- [10] S. K. Taswell and A. Craig. "Who are the Guardians of Truth and Integrity?" *Brainiacs Journal of Brain Imaging And Computing Sciences* 4.2 (Dec. 31, 2023). DOI: 10.48085/y331839fb (cited p. 1).
- [11] S. K. Taswell, C. Triggle, J. Vayo, S. Dutta, and C. Taswell. "The Hitchhiker's Guide to Scholarly Research Integrity." In: 2020 ASIS&T 83rd Annual Meeting (Oct. 22, 2020). Vol. 57. Wiley, 2020, e223. DOI: 10.1002/p ra2.223. URL: https://asistdl.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/pra2.223 (cited p. 1).

- [12] US Congress Office of Technology Assessment. Scientific Validity of Polygraph Testing: A Research Review and Evaluation. Tech. rep. Washington, DC: United States Congress, Nov. 1983. URL: https://sgp.fas.org/othergov/polygraph/ota/index.html (cited p. 1).
- [13] J. J. Walczyk, N. Sewell, and M. B. DiBenedetto. "A Review of Approaches to Detecting Malingering in Forensic Contexts and Promising Cognitive Load-Inducing Lie Detection Techniques." Frontiers in Psychiatry 9 (Dec. 2018). ISSN: 1664-0640. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00700 (cited p. 1).